

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,718	03/01/2002	Kishan Khemani	16096.6	7476
22913	7590 12/23/2005		EXAMINER	
	NYDEGGER	WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
•	KMAN NYDEGGER &	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			1711	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
	10/087,718	KHEMANI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ana L. Woodward	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c Awe L	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE MON 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ctober 11,2005				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Application of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	• , ,	` '	(4)		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		(1) (0)			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/087,718

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12, 15-25, 27, 28 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. 6,838,403 (Tsai et al) as per reasons of record.

The newly incorporated claims 1-12, 15, 27 and 28 no longer require a blend of soft and stiff biodegradable polymers and, as such, are met by the particle filled-biodegradable films of Tsai et al, which necessarily possess cavitation due to the presence of the particulate fillers and the processing techniques used to manufacture them. The film is stretched to cause voids to form around the filler particles. The onus is shifted to applicants to establish that the product of the present claims is not the same as or obvious from those set forth by Tsai et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,718 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

4. Claims 1-12, 15, 24, 25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 2002/0098341 A1 (Schiffer et al).

Schiffer et al disclose biodegradable breathable monolayer films (and laminates therefrom) formed by mixing biodegradable polymers or mixtures thereof with a particulate filler, forming the mixture into a film, and stretching the film uniaxially or biaxially to cause voids to form around the filler particles. The filler particles comprise organic as well as inorganic fillers, reading on the presently claimed filler particles (page 3, paragraphs 0027-0032) and constitute about 5-80% by weight of the breathable film, fulfilling present claims 3-5 (page 2, paragraph 0026). After stretching, the films have a thickness of about 5-50 microns (about 0.0002-0.002 inches), fulfilling present claims 9-11 (page 3, paragraph 0034). The films have a water vapor transmission rate of at least about 500 g/m2/day, as required by present claim 12 (page 4, paragraph 0038).

The onus is shifted to applicants to establish that the product of the present claims is not the same as or obvious from those set forth by Schiffer et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. Claims 13, 14, 26, 29, 30, 35 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 6,838,403 (Tsai et al) in view of US 6,096,809 (Lorcks et al) as per reasons of record.
- 6. Claims 13, 14, 16-23, 26, 29-35 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2002/0098341 A1 (Schiffer et al) in view of US 6,096,809 (Lorcks et al) as per reasons of reason.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,718 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Response to Arguments

7. The affidavit filed on October 11, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the above-cited references.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the above-cited references. The presently claimed subject matter is directed to articles of manufacture, i.e., sheets or films, having specific properties and characteristics, e.g., cavitation, texturing, dead fold, etc.. The evidence, however, is related solely to wrap formulations comprising a blend of blend of specific biodegradable polymers. There is no evidence establishing that said formulations possessed the presently claimed characteristics, e.g., cavitation, texturing, dead fold, etc. Accordingly, the evidence is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice.

8. Applicant's terminal disclaimer filed October 11, 2005 has effectively overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejections.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/087,718 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aha L-Woodward
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711
